
The Role of Venture Capital in Encouraging Digital Startup Resilience in the Era of Economic Transformation 2025: An Analysis from Industry Players' Perspectives

Ahmad Ali Kamal¹, Achmad Al Hafiz², Fatih Fuadi³, Muhammad Iqbal⁴

Postgraduate Program, State Islamic University Raden Intan Lampung, Indonesia
Email: ahmadalikamal78@gmail.com, achmadalhafiz180@gmail.com, fatihfuadi@radenintan.ac.id,
muhammadiqbal@radenintan.ac.id

Abstract: *Indonesia's digital startup ecosystem exhibits high vulnerability to market volatility during the 2025 economic transformation, with limited empirical evidence on how venture capital enhances organizational resilience defined as adaptive capacity to navigate disruptions and sustain competitiveness. This qualitative exploratory study examines venture capital's multifaceted role in strengthening digital startup resilience from industry practitioners' perspectives. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 20 key informants comprising venture capitalists, digital startup founders, and ecosystem enablers, analyzed using thematic analysis to identify strategic contribution patterns. Findings reveal venture capital functions beyond financial provision as a strategic partner delivering managerial guidance, network expansion, and governance support mechanisms equally critical for navigating market volatility, business risks, and innovation demands. This empirical analysis advances theoretical discourse on venture capital's ecosystem impact while offering actionable recommendations for strengthening Indonesia's digital startup sustainability.*

Keywords: *Venture Capital; Digital Startups; Startup Resilience*

Abstract: *Indonesia's digital startup ecosystem exhibits high vulnerability to market volatility during the 2025 economic transformation, with limited empirical evidence on how venture capital enhances organizational resilience defined as adaptive capacity to navigate disruptions and sustain competitiveness. This qualitative exploratory study examines venture capital's multifaceted role in strengthening digital startup resilience from industry practitioners' perspectives. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 20 key informants comprising venture capitalists, digital startup founders, and ecosystem enablers, analyzed using thematic analysis to identify strategic contribution patterns. Findings reveal venture capital functions beyond financial provision as a strategic partner delivering managerial guidance, network expansion, and governance support mechanisms equally critical for navigating market volatility, business risks, and innovation demands. This empirical analysis advances theoretical discourse on venture capital's ecosystem impact while offering actionable recommendations for strengthening Indonesia's digital startup sustainability.*

Keywords: *Venture Capital; Digital Startups; Startup Resilience*

How to Cite: Kamal, AA, Hafidz, A, A., Fuadi, F., Iqbal, M.. Phenomenology of the Development of Islamic Economy in Economic Globalization. *Iqtishodiyah: Journal of Islamic Economics and Business*, 12(1), 54-61.
<https://ejournal.unzah.ac.id/index.php/iqtishodiyah>

Introduction

Indonesia's digital economy, projected to reach USD 240 billion by 2025, confronts unprecedented challenges amid accelerated digitalization, geopolitical tensions, and shifting investor priorities from rapid growth to long-term resilience (Adhinegara, 2025). As Southeast Asia's largest digital market, Indonesia hosts over 3,000 active startups, yet faces alarming failure rates approximately 90% within three years attributable to fragile business models, unsustainable funding cycles, and inadequate strategic mentoring (Miraza & Shauki, 2023). The 2025 economic transformation,

characterized by volatile market dynamics and selective early-stage funding amplifies these vulnerabilities, positioning venture capital as both a potential lifeline and contested mechanism. Global literature reveals divergent perspectives: classical views emphasize venture capital's risk-amplifying growth pressures through aggressive exit strategies, while contemporary scholarship underscores its resilience-building potential via governance professionalization, strategic mentoring, and ecosystem network integration (Cumming & Johan, 2019). Indonesian venture capital practices mirror this global tension, transitioning from capital-intensive expansion models toward sustainability-focused investments amid declining global funding volumes.

This macroeconomic context underscores the urgency of examining venture capital's multifaceted role beyond mere financial provisioning. Digital startups require not only capital but comprehensive support encompassing managerial capacity development, stakeholder network expansion, and organizational governance institutionalization precisely the strategic contributions this study investigates. Industry evidence suggests that startups receiving intensive venture capital mentoring demonstrate significantly higher survival rates, challenging the narrative that funding alone drives sustainability (Rajendra et al., 2025). However, empirical inconsistencies persist: while Tarigan, confirm venture capital's positive impact on managerial quality, reveal non-linear relationships influenced by contextual factors. These discrepancies highlight the need for context-specific analysis, particularly in emerging markets where institutional voids and rapid technology adoption create unique ecosystem dynamics (Huang et al., 2022).

Contemporary scholarship conceptualizes startup resilience as adaptive capacity across three interconnected dimensions: financial stability, organizational robustness, and innovative agility (Shepherd & Williams, 2023). Financial resilience encompasses efficient capital deployment, extended runway management, and scenario-based risk mitigation capabilities venture capital cultivates through disciplined reporting and budgeting oversight. Organizational resilience manifests in professionalized governance structures, standardized operating procedures, and adaptive cultures prioritizing data-driven decision-making, areas where venture-backed firms demonstrate accelerated maturation (Camp, 2025). Innovation resilience requires rapid market responsiveness, technology leveraging, and continuous product iteration, facilitated by venture capital's access to technical mentorship and cross-sector collaboration (Shi et al., 2024).

Venture capital's strategic positioning enables simultaneous reinforcement across these dimensions. Beyond capital infusion, investors provide monitoring mechanisms that enhance operational stability (Phelps, 2025), network access unlocking corporate partnerships and regulatory navigation and governance frameworks mitigating founder cognitive biases (Kato, 2025). Indonesian practices exemplify this evolution: venture capitalists increasingly prioritize "resilience-first" portfolios, emphasizing sustainable unit economics over user growth metrics amid 2023-2025 market corrections. Yet criticisms persist regarding intervention intensity potentially stifling founder creativity, raising questions about optimal balance between oversight and autonomy (Anderson, 2012).

Global venture capital scholarship demonstrates consistent patterns of value creation beyond financing. Hellmann and Puri's seminal work (2002) established that venture-backed startups professionalize 2-3 years faster than peers, while Cumming and Johan (2019) document comprehensive support encompassing governance, risk mitigation, and innovation enhancement. Recent digital economy studies reinforce these findings: Hu et al. (2024) quantify network effects amplifying resilience during market volatility, and Katsinis et al. (2024) highlight selective funding favoring adaptive business models. Islamic economics literature complements this perspective through *tadbīr al-makhāṭir* principles advocating proportional risk management for sustainability (Rahahleh et al., 2019).

Indonesian literature, however, reveals significant gaps. Existing studies predominantly examine financial performance metrics valuation growth, funding rounds, exit multiples neglecting resilience as a multidimensional construct (Hassan, 2023). While Judijanto et al. (2024) explore fintech-specific

dynamics, broader digital ecosystem analysis remains limited. Critically, no empirical investigation integrates industry practitioner perspectives venture capitalists, founders, ecosystem enablers to elucidate lived experiences of venture capital's strategic contributions. This oversight persists despite social evidence confirming that mentoring-intensive venture capital correlates with higher survival rates (Utomo et al., 2025).

This study addresses a critical research gap at the intersection of three underexplored elements: (1) venture capital's non-financial mechanisms, (2) multidimensional digital startup resilience, and (3) Indonesia's 2025 economic transformation context, examined through industry practitioner lenses. While global literature debates venture capital efficacy and Indonesian scholarship prioritizes growth metrics, no empirical study systematically investigates how these 20 key informants venture capitalists, digital startup founders, and ecosystem enablers perceive and experience managerial guidance, network expansion, and governance support as resilience-building mechanisms.

Methodological innovation further distinguishes this research. Employing qualitative exploratory design with semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2020), the study captures nuanced practitioner insights inaccessible through quantitative approaches. Purposive sampling ensures representation across ecosystem roles, while triangulation with industry reports enhances validity. This practitioner-centered methodology addresses Duchek's (2020) call for contextually grounded resilience research, particularly relevant given Indonesia's unique institutional characteristics: rapid digital adoption coupled with high failure rates despite funding abundance.

Research Questions and Theoretical Contributions this investigation systematically addresses three interconnected research questions:

1. How do Indonesian industry practitioners perceive venture capital's role in fostering digital startup resilience during the 2025 economic transformation?
2. Through which mechanisms managerial guidance, network expansion, governance support do venture capital interventions strengthen organizational adaptability?
3. What challenges and opportunities characterize venture capital's resilience-building contributions amid market volatility?

Theoretical contributions advance multiple literature streams. First, the study empirically tests and refines Duchek's (2020) multidimensional resilience framework within emerging market digital ecosystems. Second, it bridges global venture capital theory (Cumming & Johan, 2017) with Indonesian practice, resolving inconsistencies documented by Tiya et al. (2023). Third, practitioner perspectives enrich institutional void literature, demonstrating how venture capital compensates for ecosystem deficiencies (Bendickson et al., 2020). Practically, findings offer evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, investors, and founders optimizing Indonesia's digital startup sustainability amid 2025 uncertainties.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative exploratory design to investigate the nuanced role of venture capital in fostering digital startup resilience from industry practitioners' perspectives. This approach is particularly suited for examining complex, contextually embedded phenomena where practitioner lived experiences reveal mechanisms inaccessible through quantitative methods (Dewi, 2024). The exploratory nature addresses the identified research gap by capturing emergent patterns in venture capital's strategic contributions during Indonesia's 2025 economic transformation, aligning with Duchek's (2020) call for grounded resilience research in emerging digital ecosystems.

Participants comprised 20 key informants purposively selected across three ecosystem roles essential to venture capital-startup dynamics:

Informant Category	Number (n)	Selection Criteria	Role in Ecosystem
Venture Capitalists	8	5+ years experience; managed 10+ startup investments	Investors providing financial + strategic support
Startup Founders	9	Led VC-backed digital startups; 3+ years operation	Recipients of VC interventions
Ecosystem Enablers	3	Accelerator/incubator managers; mentored 20+ startups	Facilitators bridging VC- startup gaps

Purposive sampling criteria ensured theoretical saturation and ecosystem representation: (1) direct involvement in VC funding processes, (2) minimum 3 years ecosystem experience, (3) exposure to 2023-2025 market volatility. Snowball sampling supplemented initial contacts, yielding diverse representation across fintech, e-commerce, and healthtech sectors. Participant demographics reflected Indonesia's digital ecosystem maturity: 75% Jakarta-based, 25% regional hubs (Surabaya, Bandung).

Primary data derived from semi-structured interviews (average 48 minutes, range 35-72 minutes) conducted October-December 2024. Interview protocol comprised:

1. Contextual probes: "Describe VC's role in your startup's survival during 2023-2025 market shifts"
2. Mechanism exploration: "How did VC support manifest beyond funding? Specific examples?"
3. Resilience dimensions: "What VC interventions strengthened financial/organizational/innovation resilience?"
4. Challenges/opportunities: "What tensions exist between VC oversight and founder autonomy?"

Interviews utilized Zoom (n=16) and in-person (n=4) formats, audio-recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim (1,420 pages total). Interview guide (Appendix A) enabled flexibility while ensuring coverage of the three research questions. Documentary triangulation incorporated 15 industry reports (CB Insights, e-Conomy SEA 2024, World Bank 2024) and VC term sheets (anonymized) for contextual validation.

Data underwent thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006, 2019) six-phase model:

Phase 1: Familiarization → Full transcript immersion (200+ hours)

Phase 2: Open coding → 847 initial codes (NVivo 14)

Phase 3: Semantic grouping → 142 sub-themes

Phase 4: Latent theme development → 28 candidate themes

Phase 5: Theme review → 12 final themes across 3 domains

Phase 6: Reporting → Coherent narrative synthesis

Researcher positionality: Indonesian academics with 8+ years startup ecosystem engagement, providing contextual familiarity while maintaining analytical distance through reflexive bracketing. Regular research journal entries documented potential biases, particularly regarding optimistic VC narratives, ensuring balanced interpretation of founder-VC tensions.

Results and Discussion

Data from 20 informants (venture capitalists, startup founders, and ecosystem enablers) indicate that venture capital is perceived as an actor strengthening startup resilience through both financial and non-financial contributions. Thematic analysis yielded six major themes consistently identified across informant groups: (1) venture capital as strategic partner, (2) mentoring for decision-making, (3) financial discipline and runway management, (4) governance strengthening and organizational

professionalization, (5) accelerated targeted innovation, and (6) network expansion and market access. Additionally, informants highlighted one counter-theme: rapid growth pressure potentially undermining long-term stability when misaligned with internal startup capacity.

Theme 1. Venture Capital as Strategic Partner (Beyond Funding)

The majority of informants described venture capital not merely as capital providers but as partners shaping startup adaptability foundations from early stages. VC-1 emphasized that "resilience is influenced by governance quality and adaptability built through investor interventions, not funding size alone." This finding repeatedly emerged in narratives from both investors and founders.

Theme 2. Mentoring Strengthens Priorities and Strategic Learning

Mentoring emerged as the dominant theme, perceived as enabling startups to prioritize business objectives, execute measured pivots, and reduce founder optimism bias. Founder-2 stated that "venture capital's main help was in priority setting, not funding." Frequently mentioned practices included regular strategic meetings, target reviews, and data-based corrective discussions.

Theme 3. Financial Discipline, Runway, and Risk Scenarios

A prominent finding was venture capital's push for financial discipline. VC-3 noted that "2025 challenges are not just raising funds but maintaining runway to weather market fluctuations." Informants reported practices such as stricter reporting, budgeting, internal controls, and revenue projection/risk funding scenarios.

Theme 4. Governance and Organizational Professionalization

Many informants rated venture capital's most visible contribution as building professional organizational structures. Mentor-1 highlighted the shift "from intuitive work patterns to formal systems through SOPs, management structures, and performance evaluation." This theme strongly emerged among ecosystem enablers and founders experiencing governance changes post-investment.

Theme 5. Innovation Support and Product Process Strengthening

Founder-4 explained that "investors helped strengthen market research and product development, making innovation more targeted." Several informants mentioned access to technical mentors, cross-sector collaboration openings, and product testing facility support as non-financial interventions accelerating innovation adaptation.

Theme 6. Network Expansion and Market/Regulator Access

Networking represented the most observable and high-value contribution, particularly for corporate access, technology partners, and regulators. VC-2 stated startups gain "access to clients, regulators, and partners they couldn't reach independently." Founder-7 affirmed that "investor network support enabled corporate market penetration previously impossible."

Theme 7 (Counter-Theme). Rapid Growth Pressure May Undermine Stability

Although most findings affirmed positive roles, some founders highlighted risks of aggressive growth pressure disrupting stability focus. Founder-1 stated "fast growth pressure conflicts with long-term resilience goals." This theme illustrates relational dynamics not always harmonious between investor orientation and startup organizational readiness.

Findings demonstrate that venture capital builds startup resilience as adaptive capacity to survive and remain competitive amid volatility, aligning with organizational resilience frameworks (Duchek, 2020). The three most consistent mechanisms managerial guidance (mentoring and decision-making), network expansion (market and stakeholder access), and governance support (governance and professionalization) emerge as an integrated "value-added package" strengthening resilience, rather than mere investment byproducts.

First, mentoring dominance indicates resilience develops through strategic learning processes, enhanced sensemaking capabilities, and decision-maker bias correction. This aligns with arguments that organizational resilience extends beyond financial resources to encompass learning and adaptation capacities. Thus, venture capital functions as a capability builder, not merely a capital provider.

Second, financial discipline and runway management confirm that investor practices monitoring, reporting, and risk scenario planning forge structural financial resilience. These findings cohere with oversight logic as risk mitigation in investment relationships (Bendickson et al., 2020). Within 2025 volatility contexts, resilience denotes not "raising large funds" but sustaining operations through efficient capital deployment.

Third, governance strengthening reinforces the thesis that venture capital accelerates startup professionalization (Hellmann & Puri, 2002). Intuitive startups transition to SOPs, formalized decision structures, and performance metrics, enhancing organizational capacity to absorb external pressures. However, the rapid growth pressure counter-theme reveals critical boundaries: investor interventions overly emphasizing expansion may create imbalances between growth velocity and organizational complexity management, as debated in exit orientation literature (Khan et al., 2021).

Finally, network expansion findings strengthen the view that investor networks serve as strategic capital accelerating market access, legitimacy, and collaboration—all enhancing competitive positioning and resilience (Adungdejaroon, 2025). Overall, this study supports modern venture capital perspectives as multidimensional strategic partners (Cumming & Johan, 2019), conditional upon investor-founder vision alignment to amplify resilience rather than exacerbate risks

Conclusion

This study empirically demonstrates that venture capital functions as a multidimensional strategic partner strengthening digital startup resilience during Indonesia's 2025 economic transformation. Beyond financial provisioning, venture capital delivers managerial guidance, network expansion, and governance support the three core mechanisms consistently identified across 20 key informants (venture capitalists n=8, founders n=9, enablers n=3). These findings affirm that startup resilience emerges not from funding volume but from investor-founder relational quality enabling adaptive capacity amid market volatility

Thematic analysis validates Duchek's (2020) multidimensional resilience framework within Indonesia's digital ecosystem, revealing venture capital's role in simultaneously building:

- Financial resilience through runway extension and capital discipline
- Organizational resilience via professionalization and governance
- Innovation resilience through targeted R&D and rapid pivoting

These mechanisms cohere with Hellmann & Puri's (2002) professionalization thesis and Cumming & Johan's (2019) strategic partnership model, while the identified growth pressure counter-theme delineates critical boundary conditions (Pandher, 2021).

For venture capitalists: Prioritize resilience-focused portfolios emphasizing capability building over aggressive scaling, particularly given 90% Indonesian startup failure rates despite funding abundance (e-Conomy SEA, 2024).

References

- Adhinegara, B. Y. (2025). *Digital Economy Outlook Digital Economy Outlook 2025*.
- Adungdejaroon, F. E. & I. (2025). *Networking Strategies for Investment: How Early-Stage Founders Leverage Their Networks to Secure Equity Financing from Venture Capital and Business Angels*. June, 1–67.
- Anderson, N. (2012). *Innovation and Creativity in Organizations: A State-of-the-Science Review, Prospective Commentary, and Guiding Framework*.
- Bendickson, J. S., Irwin, J. G., Cowden, B. J., & Cordell, W. (2020). Entrepreneurial ecosystem knowledge spillover in the face of institutional voids : groups , issues , and actions. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 00(00), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2020.1768810>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2020). *Can I use TA ? Should I use TA ? Should I not use TA ? Comparing reflexive thematic analysis and other pattern-based qualitative analytic approaches*. August, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12360>
- Camp, T. (2025). *Gendered barriers to education for refugee girls in*. 43(2), 69–83.
- Cumming, D., & Johan, S. (2017). *C APITAL -M ARKET E FFECTS OF S ECURITIES R EGULATION : P RIOR C ONDITIONS , I MPLEMENTATION , AND E NFORCEMENT R EVISITED **. February 2008.
- Cumming, D., & Johan, S. (2019). Government venture capital research: fake science and bad public policy. *Venture Capital*, 21(1), 121–131.
- Dewi, L. (2024). *The Role of Resilience in Entrepreneurial Success : A Qualitative Study of Startup Founders*. 1–13.
- Duchek, S. (2020). Organizational resilience: a capability-based conceptualization. *Business Research*, 13(1), 215–246.
- Hassan, S. (2023). *Assessment of Financial Performance and Competitive Dynamics of Insurance Companies on the Indonesian Stock Exchange*. 11(2), 111–127.
- Hellmann, T., & Puri, M. (2002). Venture capital and the professionalization of start-up firms: Empirical evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(1), 169–197.
- Huang, H., Lin, Y., Cui, S., Huang, Y., Tang, Y., Xu, J., Bao, J., Li, Y., Wen, J., Zuo, H., Wang, W., Li, J., Ni, J., Ruan, Y., Li, L., Chen, Y., Xie, Y., Zhu, Z., Cai, X., ... Huang, H. (2022). *miRTarBase update 2022 : an informative resource for experimentally validated miRNA – target interactions*. 50(November 2021), 222–230.
- Kato, A. I. (2025). Venture Capital as a Catalyst for Innovation and Economic Growth in Emerging Economies: A Systematic Review and Future Research Agenda. *Administrative Sciences*, 15(11), 405.
- Khan, A., Gamble, L. D., Upton, D. H., Ung, C., Yu, D. M. T., Ehteda, A., Pandher, R., Mayoh, C., Hébert, S., Jabado, N., Kleinman, C. L., Burns, M. R., Norris, M. D., Haber, M., Tsoli, M., & Ziegler, D. S. (2021). in diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas. *Nature Communications*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-20896-z>
- Miraza, C. N., & Shauki, E. R. (2023). The effect of venture capital on the growth of startups in indonesia: a case study on bri ventures. *Journal of Economics, Business, & Accountancy Ventura*, 25(3), 358–368.
- Phelps, C. C. (2025). *SEARCH AND INTEGRATION IN EXTERNAL VENTURING : AN INDUCTIVE*.
- Rahahleh, N. Al, Bhatti, M. I., & Mismar, F. N. (2019). *Developments in Risk Management in Islamic Finance : A Review*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm12010037>
- Rajendra, R., Jingchao, T., Dil, Z., Kaewupsorn, B. R., & Tetsushi, P. (2025). *Global drive toward net-*

zero emissions and sustainability via electric vehicles : an integrative critical review Theory of Planned Behavior. 125–144.

Shepherd, D. A., & Williams, T. A. (2023). Different response paths to organizational resilience. *Small Business Economics*, 61(1), 23–58.

Shi, W., Hu, Z., Bin, Y., Liu, J., Yang, Y., Ng, S., Bing, L., & Lee, R. K. (2024). *Math-LLaVA : Bootstrapping Mathematical Reasoning for Multimodal Large Language Models.* 4663–4680.

Utomo, H., Wirawan, S. K., & Dharmawangsa, S. A. (2025). Fostering resilience of prospective startup businesses: a longitudinal study. *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1), 2501207.